Recap of the Last Lecture
BFS

1  for each vertex v in V
2     color[v] = white
3     d[v] = INFINITY
4     p[v] = NULL
5  color[s] = gray
6  d[s] = 0
7  Queue.clear()
8  Queue.put(s)
9  while (!Queue.empty())
10     v = Queue.get()
11     for each u adjacent to v
12         if (color[u] == white)
13             color[u] = gray
14             d[u] = d[v] + 1
15             p[u] = v
16             Queue.put(u)
17     color[v] = black
Lemmas

Lemma 1: Let $G = (V;E)$ be a graph, and $s \in V$ a vertex. Then, for any edge $(u;v) \in E$:
$$b(s;v) \cdot b(s,u) + 1$$

Lemma 2: Upon termination, the BFS algorithm computes $d[v]$ for every vertex, and $d[v] \geq b(s,v)$.

Lemma 3: At all times during the execution of BFS, the queue contains vertices $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_r)$ such that $d[v_1] \cdot d[v_2] \cdot d[v_3] \cdot ... \cdot d[v_r] \cdot d[v_r] = d[v_1] + 1$.

Corollary 4: If vertices $u$ and $v$ are enqueued during execution of BFS, and $u$ is enqueued before $v$, then $d[u] = d[v]$.
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**Lemma 1**: Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, and $s \in V$ a vertex. Then, for any edge $(u, v) \in E$:
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$$d[v_1] \leq d[v_2] \leq d[v_3] \ldots \leq d[v_r] \text{ AND } d[v_r] \leq d[v_1] + 1.$$  

**Corollary 4**: If vertices $u$ and $v$ are enqueued during execution of BFS, and $u$ is enqueued before $v$, then $d[u] \leq d[v]$. 
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Theorem: Given $G = (V, E)$ and source vertex $s$, the BFS algorithm discovers every vertex $v$ reachable from $s$, and upon termination, $d[v] = b(s, v)$. Moreover, for any vertex $v$ reachable from $s$, one of the shortest paths from $s$ to $v$ is a path from $s$ to $p[v]$, followed by edge $(p[v], v)$. 
What this Lecture is About

- applied aspects of data-structures and algorithms
- “software engineering”
  - issues in developing large software
  - techniques for managing software development
- software lifecycle
- project management
- extreme programming
State of the Software

If software were an office building, it would be built by a thousand carpenters, electricians and plumbers. Without architects. Or blueprints. It would look spectacular, but inside, the elevators would fail regularly. Thieves would have unfettered access through open vents at street level. Tenants would need consultants to move in. They would discover that the doors unlock whenever someone brews a pot of coffee. The builders would provide a repair kit and promise that such idiosyncrasies would not exist in the next skyscraper they build (which, by the way, tenants will be forced to move into).

Strangely, the tenants would be OK with all this. They’d tolerate the costs and the oddly comforting rhythm of failure and repair that came to dominate their lives. If someone asked, “Why do we put up with this building?” shoulders would be shrugged, hands tossed and sighs heaved. “That’s just how it is. Basically, buildings suck.”

from an online article on idg.net
Does Software Really Suck?

- slight exaggeration, but not far from the fact
- examples of software failures abound
- but, software companies still expect to make money!
Year 1900 Bug

In 1992, Mary from Winona, Minnesota, received an invitation to attend a kindergarten. Mary was 104 at that time.
Interface Misuse

On April 10, 1990, in London, an underground train left the station without its driver. The driver had taped the button that started the train, relying on the system that prevented the train from moving when doors were open. The train operator had left his train to close a door which was stuck. When the door was finally shut, the train simply left.
Late and Over Budget

In 1995, bugs in the automated luggage system of the new Denver International Airport caused suitcases to be chewed up. The airport opened 16 months late, $3.2 billion over-budget, with mostly manual luggage system.
After 18 months of development, a $200 million system was delivered to a health insurance company in Wisconsin in 1984. However, the system did not work correctly; $60 million in overpayments were issued. The system took 3 years to fix.
Software Failures

Unnecessary Complexity

The C-17 cargo plane by McDonnel Douglas ran $500 million over budget because of problems with its avionics software. The C-17 included 19 onboard computers, 80 microprocessors, and 6 different programming languages.
Lessons

• we rely more and more on software in our daily lives
• software mistakes are costly
• software reliability is critical
• software usability is very important
• software projects are mostly ill-managed
Why Software Engineering?

- software engineering coined in the late 1960s because:
  Software developers were not able to set concrete objectives, predict the resources necessary to attain those objectives, and manage the customers’ expectations. More often than not, the moon was promised, a lunar rover built, and a pair of square wheels delivered.
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• software engineering coined in the late 1960s because: Software developers were not able to set concrete objectives, predict the resources necessary to attain those objectives, and manage the customers’ expectations. More often than not, the moon was promised, a lunar rover built, and a pair of square wheels delivered.

• Arguably, large pieces of software are the most complicated entities ever created by humans!
What is Engineering?

- well established engineering disciplines are applications of natural sciences

- engineering is a quick way to design objects
  - civil engineers have widely used “handbooks” to guide construction

- engineering is a collection of best practices

- engineering is a collection of design patterns
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Activities in a Large Project

- modeling
- problem solving
- knowledge acquisition
- rationale management
Modeling with UML
Modeling With UML

- **functional model**
  - UML use case models
  - functionality of the system from user’s point of view

- **object model**
  - UML class diagrams
  - structure of a system in terms of objects, attributes, associations, and operations

- **dynamic model**
  - UML sequence diagrams, statechart diagrams, activity diagrams
  - internal behavior of the system
Use Case Diagrams

SimpleWatch

ReadTime

SetTime

ChangeBattery

WatchUser

WatchRepairPerson
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Use Case Diagrams: Generalization

Authenticate
WithPassword

Authenticate
WithCard

Authenticate
Use Case Diagrams: Extend

- ChangeGearToReverse
- ChangeGearToFirst
- EngineStalled
Class Diagrams: Aggregation

State

County

Township

PoliceStation

PoliceOfficer

Directory

File
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Class Diagrams: Inheritance

Watch

- time
- date
- SetDate(d)

CalculatorWatch

- calculatorState
- EnterCalcMode()
- InputNumber(n)
Class Diagrams: Instances

sW1291:Watch <<instanceOf>> Watch

eW15:CalculatorWatch <<instanceOf>> CalculatorWatch
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Software Life Cycle
Software Development: Simple View

Problem definition

System development

System operation

Client

Project Manager

Developer

Administrator

End User
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Simple Entity Centric View

Software Development

Market survey document

System specification document

Lessons learned document

Executable system
Software Life Cycle: IEEE 1074

- Software life cycle
  - Process group
    - Process
      - Phase
        - Work Product
          - Produces
          - Consumes
        - Task
          - Resource
            - Participant
            - Time
            - Money
Waterfall Model

- Project Initiation Process
  - Concept Exploration Process
    - System Allocation Process
      - Requirements Process
        - Design Process
          - Implementation Process
            - Verification & Validation Process
              - installation Process
                - Operation & Support Process
Other Models

- Boehm’s Spiral Model
- Sawtooth Model
- Shark Tooth Model
Design Patterns in UML
Design Patterns
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Extreme Programming
Extreme Programming

• relatively new idea—barely 6 years old
• suited to small projects, of 2-30 people
• relaxes the heavyweight management in favor of informality
• software development process divided into four types of activities
  - planning
  - designing
  - coding
  - testing
XP: Coding (simplified)
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XP: Coding (simplified)

- customer is always available
- code formatted to standards
  - design patterns
  - best practices
- unit test coded first
  - unit tests also serve as documentation
- pair programming
  - counter-intuitive, but works!
- collective code ownership
- optimize last
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Modern Tools

- project tools
- CASE tools
- project management tools
  - PERT charts (Program Evaluation Review Technique), also called PERT / CRM (Critical Path Management)
  - Gantt charts
  - project management software
- high-level languages
- program development environments
Next Lecture: Back to Graphs