## Comp487/587-Boolean Formulas

## 1 Logic and SAT

### 1.1 What is a Boolean Formula

- Logic is a way through which we can analyze and reason about simple or complicated events.
- In particular, we are interested in Boolean logic in which we simplify the events to be either 0 or 1 , true or false. This strong simplification allows us to actually reason about the events, things that we cannot do with more complicated logics.
- One of the formal way to do this is to take atomic events, or propositions, that can each be true or false. From these we can construct a more complicated formula through operations like "and" or "or".


### 1.2 Syntax of Boolean Formulas

Symbolically, a Boolean formula is a finite string which is constructed from:

- Variables: From a set Vars of variable symbols, e.g. $x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots$
- Boolean operators: $\neg$ (negation), $\vee$ (disjunction, or), $\wedge$ (conjunction, and) $\rightarrow$ (implication), $\leftrightarrow$ (equivalence)
- Parenthesis: (, ).

The definition of Boolean formulas is given recursively, as follows:
Definition 1. The set of Boolean formulas Form is the smallest set satisfying the following two properties:

- Every variable is a Boolean formula. That is, Vars $\subseteq$ Form.
- If $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ are in Form, then so are $\left(\neg \psi_{1}\right),\left(\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}\right),\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}\right),\left(\psi_{1} \rightarrow \psi_{2}\right)$, and $\left(\psi_{1} \leftrightarrow \psi_{2}\right)$.

Boolean formulas of the second type are called compound formulas, and the $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are called the immediate subformulas.

Example 1. $\varphi=\left(\left(x_{1} \wedge\left(\neg x_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\neg x_{3}\right) \wedge x_{2}\right)\right)$ is a Boolean formula.
Sometimes we denote $\varphi$ as $\varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ to notate the (free/unquantified) variables in it. We will talk later on quantified variables.

### 1.3 Semantics of Boolean Formulas

A truth assignment is an assignment of either 1 (true) or 0 (false) to each variable. We will often use $T$ to denote 1 and $F$ to denote 0 . Formally, a truth assignment is a function $\tau$ : Vars $\rightarrow\{0,1\}$. Notice that there are $2^{n}$ possible truth assignments over $n$ variables.

We can use a particular truth assignment $\tau$ to evaluate a Boolean formula to be either true or false. In this way, a Boolean formula represents a function from the set of all possible truth assignments $\{\tau:$ Vars $\rightarrow\{0,1\}\}$ to the set $\{0,1\}$. We give the computation of this function recursively, along the lines of the definition above:

- If $\varphi$ is a variable, to determine the value of $\varphi$ we can look directly at the truth assignment. That is, to determine $\varphi(\tau)$ we consider $\varphi$ as a variable and use it to lookup into $\tau$. Thus $\varphi(\tau)=\tau(\varphi)$.
- If $\varphi$ is a compound formula (with immediate subformulas $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ ), then:
- If $\varphi=\left(\neg \psi_{1}\right)$ then $\varphi(\tau)=1$ iff $\psi_{1}(\tau)=0$.
- If $\varphi=\left(\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}\right)$ then $\varphi(\tau)=1$ iff $\psi_{1}(\tau)=1$ or $\psi_{2}(\tau)=1$.
- If $\varphi=\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}\right)$ then $\varphi(\tau)=1$ iff $\psi_{1}(\tau)=1$ and $\psi_{2}(\tau)=1$.
- If $\varphi=\left(\psi_{1} \rightarrow \psi_{2}\right)$ then $\varphi(\tau)=1$ iff $\psi_{1}(\tau)=0$ or $\psi_{2}(\tau)=1$.
- If $\varphi=\left(\psi_{1} \leftrightarrow \psi_{2}\right)$ then $\varphi(\tau)=1$ iff either $\left(\psi_{1}(\tau)=1\right.$ and $\left.\psi_{2}(\tau)=1\right)$ or $\left(\psi_{1}(\tau)=0\right.$ and $\left.\psi_{2}(\tau)=0\right)$.

Example 2. Let $\varphi=\left(\left(x_{1} \wedge\left(\neg x_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\neg x_{3}\right) \wedge x_{2}\right)\right)$. Let $\tau=\left\{x_{1} \mapsto 1, x_{2} \mapsto 0, x_{3} \mapsto 1\right\}$ i.e. the truth assignment which sets $x_{1}$ and $x_{3}$ to true and $x_{2}$ to false. Then $\varphi(\tau)=0$.

In many cases is it easy to ditch the parenthesis (unless we really need them). If we do, we give precedence to $\neg$ over other the Boolean operators. Obviously $\left(\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right) \wedge x_{3}\right)$ is the same as $\left(x_{1} \wedge\left(x_{2} \wedge x_{3}\right)\right)$ (and similarly for $\vee$ ) so we just write ( $x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \wedge x_{3}$ ).

You can think of a Boolean formula as a way to compactly represent a set of truth assignments, namely the set of truth assignments $\tau$ that make the formula true. We will often find two formulas that are composed of different symbols but represent the same set of truth assignments; this motivates the following definition:
Definition 2. Two Boolean formulas are called equivalent if they have the same value under every possible truth assignment. That is, we say $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are equivalent (denoted $\varphi \equiv \psi$ ) if for all $\tau: \operatorname{Vars} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ we have that $\varphi(\tau)=\psi(\tau)$.
Example 3. $\varphi_{1}=\left(\left(x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2}\right) \wedge x_{1}\right)$ is equivalent to $\varphi_{2}=\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right)$.

### 1.4 Truth tables

Another way to see the evaluation of the Boolean formula is by truth tables. A truth table is a table in which we see the evaluation of a formula under all possible truth assignments.
Example 4. The truth table for $\varphi=\left(\left(x_{1} \wedge\left(\neg x_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\neg x_{3}\right) \wedge x_{2}\right)\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & \varphi \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Notice that the size of the truth table is exponential in the number of variables. When we want to reason about larger Boolean formulas a truth table will quickly become cumbersome.

### 1.5 Binary Decision Tree

Another way to describe a Boolean formula is by a Binary Decision Tree. This is a binary tree in which every layer represents a fresh variable and every node has two children: Left (to set the variable to 0) and Right (to set the variable to 1 ). Then each path in the tree represents a possible assignment for the variables; the corresponding evaluation of the formula is the leaf at the end of the path.

Example 5. The truth table given above for $\varphi=\left(\left(x_{1} \wedge\left(\neg x_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(\neg x_{3}\right) \wedge x_{2}\right)\right)$ becomes:


Note that this description of a Boolean formula is also exponential in the number of variables.

### 1.6 Satisfiability, Unsatisfiability, and Validity

Definition 3. Let $\varphi$ be a Boolean formula.
$-\varphi$ is called satisfiable if it is true under at least one truth assignment of the variables, i.e., if $\varphi(\tau)=1$ for some truth assignment $\tau$. We say that the assignment $\tau$ satisfies the formula.
$-\varphi$ is called unsatisfiable if $\varphi$ is false under every truth assignment, i.e., if $\varphi(\tau)=0$ for all truth assignments $\tau$.
$-\varphi$ is called valid if it is true under every truth assignment, i.e., if $\varphi(\tau)=1$ for all truth assignments $\tau$.
Example 6. Let $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right)$. Then $\left\{x_{1} \mapsto 1, x_{2} \mapsto 0\right\}$ satisfies $\varphi$ but $\left\{x_{1} \mapsto 0, x_{2} \mapsto 0\right\}$ does not satisfy $\varphi$. Thus $\varphi$ is satisfiable but not valid.

Example 7. $\varphi=(x \vee \neg x)$ is valid.

### 1.7 CNF, $k$-CNF

We will shortly be interested in determining the complexity of checking satisfiability of a Boolean formula. It turns out that we do not always have to consider every possible Boolean formula. Instead, it is sufficient to consider only a certain subset of Boolean formulas. A common subset is known as CNF.

Definition 4. - A literal is either a variable $(x)$ or the negation of a variable $(\neg x)$.

- A [CNF-]clause is the disjunction (or) of literals.
- A Boolean formula is in Conjunctive Normal Form ( $C N F$ ) if it is the conjunction of disjunctions of literals.

That is, $\varphi$ is in CNF if

$$
\varphi=\bigwedge\left(\bigvee \ell_{i}\right)=\left(\ell_{1} \vee \ell_{2} \vee \cdots \vee \ell_{a_{1}}\right) \wedge\left(\ell_{a_{1}+1} \vee \ell_{a_{1}+2} \vee \cdots \vee \ell_{a_{2}}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge\left(\ell_{a_{b-1}+1} \vee \ell_{a_{b-1}+2} \vee \cdots \vee \ell_{a_{b}}\right)
$$

where each $\ell_{i}$ is a literal (either a variable or the negation of a variable). For a truth assignment to satisfy a CNF formula it must satisfy at least one literal from every clause. Note that the concatenation of two CNF formulas is still a CNF formula.

Example 8. $\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\neg x_{1} \vee x_{2} \vee x_{3} \vee x_{5} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge\left(x_{4} \vee \neg x_{2}\right)$ is in CNF.
It is often interesting to consider only CNF formulas with the same number of literals, $k$, in each clause. This defines a subset of CNF formulas known as $k$-CNF formulas:

Definition 5. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. A formula $\phi$ is in $k$-CNF if it is in CNF and there are exactly $k$ literals in each clause.

Example 9. $\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{3} \vee x_{5} \vee x_{4}\right) \wedge\left(x_{4} \vee \neg x_{2} \vee x_{1}\right)$ is in 3-CNF.

### 1.8 SAT, $k$-SAT

Checking the satisfiability of Boolean formulas is a famous important problem. It turns out that checking the satisfiability of general Boolean formulas is equivalent to checking the satisfiability of Boolean formulas in CNF, because of the following theorem:

Theorem 1. There is a polynomial time algorithm that, given a Boolean formula $\varphi$, will construct a CNF formula which is satisfiable iff $\varphi$ is satisfiable.

Proof. The proof of this theorem will be given as a Homework Exercise

The formula constructed in the theorem above may introduce a linear fraction of new variables. It is also possible to construct a CNF formula equivalent (not just equi-satisfiable) to a given Boolean formula without introducing new variables, but the new formula may be exponentially larger in the worst-case.

We can define two decision problems, SAT and $k$-SAT, that check the satisfiability of a formula in either CNF or $k$-CNF:

SAT:
Input: a Boolean formula $\varphi$ in CNF
Output: Yes if $\varphi$ is satisfiable, No otherwise.
$k$-SAT:
Input: a Boolean formula $\varphi$ in $k$-CNF
Output: Yes if $\varphi$ is satisfiable, No otherwise.
We will see later that these two decision problems are closely related. In fact, both SAT and $k$-SAT (for $k \geq 3$ ) are NP-complete.

## 2 Exercises

### 2.1 Problem 1

Which of the following is a Boolean formula?

1. $\left(x_{1} \neg x_{2} \wedge x_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\neg\left(x_{2}\right)\right.$
2. $\left(x_{2}\right)$
3. $\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right) \wedge \neg\left(\left(x_{2} \leftrightarrow\left(\neg x_{3}\right)\right)\right)$

### 2.2 Problem 2

Prove the De-Morgan laws. That is, for every pair of Boolean formulas $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ we have:

1. $\left(\neg\left(\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}\right)\right) \equiv\left(\neg \psi_{1} \wedge \neg \psi 2\right)$
2. $\left(\neg\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}\right)\right) \equiv\left(\neg \psi_{1} \vee \neg \psi 2\right)$
3. $\neg\left(\neg \psi_{1}\right) \equiv \psi_{1}$

### 2.3 Problem 3

Prove the distributive and associative properties. That is, for every pair of Boolean formulas $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}$ we have:

1. $\left(\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}\right) \vee \psi_{3}=\psi_{1} \vee\left(\psi_{2} \vee \psi_{3}\right)$
2. $\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}\right) \wedge \psi_{3}=\psi_{1} \wedge\left(\psi_{2} \wedge \psi_{3}\right)$
3. $\left(\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}\right) \wedge \psi_{3}=\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{3}\right) \vee\left(\psi_{2} \wedge \psi_{3}\right)$
4. $\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}\right) \vee \psi_{3}=\left(\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{3}\right) \wedge\left(\psi_{2} \vee \psi_{3}\right)$
5. Is it also true that $\left(\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}\right) \vee \psi_{3}=\psi_{1} \wedge\left(\psi_{2} \vee \psi_{3}\right)$ ?

### 2.4 Problem 4

Prove all of the following. Let $\varphi$ be a Boolean formula:

1. $\varphi$ is valid iff $\neg(\varphi)$ is not satisfiable.
2. $\varphi$ is no valid iff $\neg(\varphi)$ is satisfiable.
3. $\varphi$ is satisfiable iff $\neg(\varphi)$ is not valid.

### 2.5 Problem 5

Let $\varphi=\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(x_{2} \vee \neg x_{3}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{3} \wedge \neg x_{2}\right)\right)$.

1. Draw the truth table and Binary Decision Tree of $\varphi$.
2. Is $\varphi$ satisfiable/valid/not-satisfiable? If satisfiable, which assignments satisfy $\varphi$ ?
3. Let $\psi \equiv\left(x_{1} \wedge \neg x_{2} \wedge x_{3}\right)$ Prove or refute that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are equivalent.

### 2.6 Problem 6

We learned about formulas in CNF. A formula is in Disjunctive Normal Form ( $D N F$ ) if if it is the disjunction of conjunctions of literals. For example, $\left(x_{1} \wedge \neg x_{2} \wedge x_{3}\right) \vee\left(\neg x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \wedge x_{3} \wedge x_{5} \wedge x_{4}\right) \vee\left(x_{4} \wedge \neg x_{2}\right)$ is a formula in DNF. Prove the following:

1. $\varphi$ is a DNF formula iff $\neg \varphi$ is a CNF formula.
2. $L=\{\varphi \mid \varphi$ is a DNF formula $\}$ is in PTIME.
