## Comp487/587-Exercise 2

- This exercise is due at the beginning of class.
- Questions labeled with (COMP 587) are required only for students in COMP 587. Questions labeled with (Open Problem) are not required, but may be interesting to consider. All other questions are required both for students in COMP 487 and students in COMP 587.
- Collaboration is allowed and encouraged, but every student must write the solutions in his/her own words. Indicate clearly the name(s) of people you collaborated with.
- Note on the submission the total number of hours spent on this assignment.


## Problem 1: Co-NP

Consider the following two definitions of Co-NP:
Definition 1. Co-NP $=\left\{L \subseteq \Sigma^{*} \mid \bar{L} \in N P\right\}$.
Definition 2. A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ is in Co-NP if there exists a polynomial $p: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and a polynomial-time deterministic TM $M$ such that for every $x \in \Sigma^{*}$ :

$$
x \in L \Longleftrightarrow \forall u \in \Sigma^{*} \text { with }|u| \leq p(|x|), M(x, u)=1
$$

Part 1 [5 points]: Prove that these definitions are equivalent.

Part 2 [5 points]: A language $L$ is called Co-NP complete if $L \in \operatorname{Co}-\mathrm{NP}$ and for every $L^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Co}$-NP we have $L^{\prime} \leq_{P} L$. Prove that $L$ is NP-complete iff $\bar{L}$ is Co-NP complete.

Part 3 [5 points]: Prove that if $L$ is an NP-complete language and $L \in \mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{NP}$ then $N P=\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{NP}$.

Part 4 [5 points]: Prove that if $N P \neq$ Co-NP then $P \neq N P$.

## Problem 2: Feedback Set

Definition 3. A set of vertices $V^{\prime}$ in an undirected simple graph $G=(V, E)$ is called a Feedback Set if every cycle in $G$ contains a vertex in $V^{\prime}$.

Part 1 [ $\mathbf{1 0}$ points]: Prove that the following language is NP-complete by reduction from VC:

$$
F S=\{(G, k) \mid G \text { has a Feedback Set of size } \leq k\}
$$

Part 2 [5 points]: Prove (or disprove) that the following language is NP-complete:

$$
\text { Quarter-Set }=\{G=(V, E) \mid G \text { has a Feedback Set of size } \leq|V| / 4\}
$$

Part 3 [5 points]: Prove (or disprove) that the following language is NP-complete:

$$
3-F S=\{G \mid G \text { has a Feedback Set of size } 3\}
$$

## Problem 3 NAE-3SAT:

Definition 4. Let $\varphi$ be a 3-CNF formula. A truth assignment $\tau$ is called a sat-unsat assignment for $\varphi$ if every clause has at least one satisfied literal and at least one unsatisfied literal under $\tau$.

That means that in every clause $\tau$ cannot satisfy (or unsatisfy) all three literals.

Part 1 [5 points]: Prove that every 3-CNF formula has an even number of sat-unsat assignments.

Part 2 [15 points]: Prove that the following language is NP-complete:

$$
N A E 3 S A T=\{\varphi \mid \varphi \text { is a } 3 \text {-CNF formula that has a sat-unsat assignment }\}
$$

Hint: Use extra variables, as in the reduction from SAT to 3SAT.
(COMP 587) Part 3 [10 points]: Describe a direct polynomial reduction from $N A E 3 S A T$ to 3-Coloring. (By direct we mean, without using Cook-Levin, or any intermediate reduction).

## Problem 4: Max-2SAT

Part 1 [20 points]: Prove that the following language is NP-complete:
$\operatorname{Max}-2 S A T=\{(\varphi, k) \mid \varphi$ is a 2-CNF formula and $\varphi$ has an assignment that satisfies at least $k$ clauses of $\varphi\}$
Hint: Show a reduction from VC by building a formula with a variable for every vertex, clauses for the vertices, and clauses for the edges.
(COMP 587) Part 2 [10 points]: Prove that 2SAT (the language of all satisfiable 2-CNF formulas) is in $P$.
Hint: Consider a graph construction. Recall that the formula $(a \vee b)$ is logically equivalent to the formula $((\neg a \rightarrow b) \wedge(\neg b \rightarrow a))$.

## Problem 5: Graph Isomorphism

We say that two graphs are isomorphic if they are the same graph with just different names for the vertices. Formally, $G=(V, E)$ and $H=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if there exists a bijection $f: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ such that

$$
(v, w) \in E \Leftrightarrow(f(v), f(w)) \in E^{\prime}
$$

Consider the following two languages:

$$
\begin{gathered}
G I=\{(G, H) \mid G, H \text { are isomorphic }\} \\
S G I=\{(G, H) \mid G \text { has a subgraph that is isomorphic to } H\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Part 1 [5 points]: Prove that $G I \leq_{P} S G I$.

Part 2 [ 15 points]: Prove that $S G I$ is NP-complete.
Hint: Show a reduction from CLIQUE.
(Open Problem) Part 3: Prove or disprove that $G I$ is NP-complete.

